Context Map for Navigating the Physical World

Vaskar Raychoudhury, Jiannong Cao, Weiping Zhu, Ajay D. Kshemkalyani

Outline

- Context Map
- Our Research Focus
- Problem Assumption and Problem Definition
- Solution
- Simulations
- Conclusion

Smart Objects

- Smart objects are produced as a result of advances in
 - embedded sensing technologies,
 - wireless communications, and
 - mobile computing
- Smart objects are physical objects
 - capable of sensing, computing, and communication

Context Links

- Smart objects can be contextually inter-related
 - Contexts can be location, ownership, social connections, etc
 - Context can be static or dynamic depending on whether their value changes with time
 - e.g. if Mr. X and Mr. Y are present in the same location L, then there is a link between them with respect to the location context
 - If after some time, Mr. X and Mr. Y move away from each other, their contextual link may not exist any more
- Context links form a context map

Context Map

- A context map represents a global snapshot of the physical world
- A global snapshot should contain one local state from each participating entity
- Using a common time axis, a global state can be specified
 - as a state occurring at the same time instant in each entity (or, concurrent), or
 - in terms of specific temporal relationships among the local states (or, relative)
- The global snapshot is also called an event in this paper.

Example Scenario

- Tom enters his office PQ821 at 9:00 am with a laptop borrowed from the office IT services for presenting at a meeting scheduled at 11:00 am.
- He calls his project partner Bob who arrives at 9:45 am to take a look at his PPT slides.
- Leaving Bob there Tom goes to the canteen at 10:30 am for breakfast and finally enters meeting room PQ304 at 10:50 am.
- He finds that Bob has arrived there at 10:45 am and has set up the laptop for presentation.

Timeline for Example Scenario



Context Map for Example Scenario



Focus of Our Research

- In this paper, we have studied how to build the context map based on concurrent event detection
- We classified pervasive computing applications based on
 - event reporting delay, and
 - the event processing interval at the central server
- We proposed two online centralized algorithms for concurrent event detection in
 - An instantaneous manner (when the event happens), and
 - A periodic manner (detecting batch of events occurring in a time period)

Challenging Issues

- Consistent and timely maintenance of context map is non-trivial due to
 - Dynamic and asynchronous nature of pervasive environment
 - Incorrect detection of contextual events
 - Unreliability of wireless communication

Assumptions

- Various smart entities are connected wirelessly and asynchronous message passing
- Each entity has a set of static or dynamic context attributes
- Changes in those context attributes generate series of linearly ordered set of discrete events E_i by the execution of a process P_i at each entity
- The time duration between two successive events at a process identifies an *interval*
- Synchronized physical clocks are available among all smart objects

System Model

- Processes send event intervals to a central server, PO,
 - either periodically or
 - following a trigger-based approach
- A user queries for concurrent events which are specified through a predicate Φ, such that
 - Φ is explicitly defined on attribute value intervals that are implicitly related using concurrent timing relationships
- Event streams are "fused" at PO and examined to detect Φ
- The context map is updated based on the truth value of Φ ,

Types of Predicates

- Relational Predicates
 - can be true for any values of the context attributes, and cannot be evaluated locally
- Conjunctive Predicates
 - must be expressible in conjunctive form, i.e., as a conjunct over the local predicates Φ_i, where timing relations between intervals are included in the conjunction operation Λ^t
 - E.g. Conjunctive predicate: (Tom.Loc = PQ821 & Bob.Loc = PQ821 & Laptop.Loc = PQ821).
 - can be locally evaluated
- This work considers only conjunctive predicate based queries

Problem Definition

Problem Conc_{pred}

- Given a set of processes $P = \{P_1, P_2, ..., P_p\},$, such that,
 - each process has a set of k attributes, $A = \{A_1, A_2, ..., A_a\}$,
 - each attribute can take up any value from a value set for the attribute, and
 - the value of an attribute may change over time
- Assume that
 - a predicate Φ is specified over $(P_i . a_i, \forall P_i \in P \forall a_i \in A)$
- Identify a set of intervals I = {I₁; I₂; . . . I_p}, where I_i is from process Pi,
 - such that there is some time instants within all these intervals at which Φ is true

Algorithms for Conc_{pred}

- We have proposed following two online algorithms
 - Algorithm for Trigger-based Conc_{pred} Detection
 - Algorithm for Periodic Conc_{pred} Detection
- Our algorithms consider asynchronous event reporting (bounded by Δ)

Data Structure for Conc_{pred}

- The central server maintains two different queues
 - One single *queue of events* (*Q*)
 - holds a list of incoming events sorted with respect to t_s
 - A number of *p*a* queues, called *interval queues* (*Q* [*i*, *j*])
 - captures the intervals generated by each attribute of each process
 - each such queue can hold at most ξ intervals, where
 - ξ is the maximum number of intervals per attribute per process (for trigger-based predicate detection), or
 - per attribute per epoch (for periodic predicate detection)

Algorithm for Trigger-based Conc_{pred} Detection

- When a process identifies a change in value of a context attribute, it generates an event and sends it to the server
- The algorithm assumes that when a new event occurs the previous value of the context attribute, which was holding for a time interval, changes
- So, the previous time interval gets closed while a new interval starts which will continue until the next event trigger occurs
- Worst case time complexity (WCTC):
 - Enqueuing incoming events in the sorted $Q => log(p^*a^*\xi)$ time
 - Pair-wise comparison of the heads of $Q[i, j] => (p^*a)^*(p^*a-1)/2$ time
 - Predicate evaluation => $O(f(\Phi))$ where Φ is the predicate function
 - Total WCTC => $O((p^*a^*\xi)(log(p^*a^*\xi)+O(f(\Phi)+(p^*a)^*(p^*a-1)/2)))$

Algorithm for Trigger-based Conc_{pred} Detection (Cont'd)

- The algorithm works in the following way
 - Incoming events at the server are enqueued in a ordered event queue (Q)
 - It then starts a timer of Δ to capture all delayed events which occurred within (t_s-Δ, t_s)
 - When the timer expires, the server transfers the event from the *Q* to *Q* [*i*, *j*], removing the previous head of *Q* [*i*, *j*]
 - So, the interval for the previous event is closed and a new interval is started for the attribute A_j of process P_i. Thus Q [i, j] always has at most one element at any time for all i and j
 - After a new interval is started at a Q [i, j], the attribute values of the intervals at the heads of all Q [i, j] are evaluated
 - (i) whether any pair has matching attribute-values in which case a contextual link is added, and
 - (ii) whether the predicate Φ is satisfied

Algorithm for Periodic Conc_{pred} Detection

- The algorithm periodically evaluates concurrent event considering asynchrony in event reporting
- All events which occur during an epoch of period t (i.e., for events with t_s<t) are captured considering a maximum event reporting delay of Δ, and stored in the interval queue Q [i, j].
- If an event arrives during (t+Δ) and t_s>t, then it is made to wait in the event queue, Q, before finally placing it in Q [i, j]. The evaluation is pended for the current period.

Algorithm for Periodic Conc_{pred} Detection (Cont'd)

- When an epoch ends at (t+Δ), the server temporarily closes the last queued intervals in Q [i, j] with the current time stamp, t, and then evaluates the attribute values of the intervals at the heads of all Q [i, j] to detect
 - (i) whether any pair has matching attribute-values in which case a contextual link is added, and
 - (ii) whether the predicate Φ is satisfied
- After the first round of evaluation, some intervals are deleted from the heads of some of the Q [i, j] and another round of comparison is carried out among the updated heads of Q [i, j]
 - This process is repeated until heads of all Q [*i*, *j*] are the latest intervals for the current epoch

Algorithm for Periodic Conc_{pred} Detection (Cont'd)

- Analysis of worst case time complexity
 - The function ENQUEUE(*e*) which
 - Enqueuing incoming events => O(p*a)
 - The *repeat* loop => $O(p^*a^*(\xi-1))$
 - Pair-wise comparison of the heads of $Q[i, j] => (p^*a)^*(p^*a 1)/2$
 - Predicate evaluation => $O(f(\Phi))$ where Φ is the predicate func.
 - Detecting and removing time intervals => O(p*a)
- Worst case time complexity of the algorithm
 - $O((p^*a^*(\xi-1))(p^*a + O(f(\Phi) + (p^*a)^*(p^*a 1)/2)))$

Performance Evaluation

Simulation setup

- Every node has an id and a location attribute in the 2D simulation territory
- Node 0 acts as the central server which keeps track of the location of other nodes and constructs the context graph
- The territory is divided into 3x3 square grids which are considered as enclosed physical areas, like rooms.
- Nodes in the same grid are considered as co-located and they are linked with a co-location relation
- When nodes moves across grids, the co-location relations change to trigger an event and the context map is updated accordingly

Performance Evaluation

• Simulation parameters

| Parameters | Values |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Number of nodes, (N) | 50, 100, 150, 200 |
| Territory scale (m^2) | 1500 |
| Mean link delay (ms) | 5 |
| Max link delay (ms) | 100 |
| Transmission radius (m) | 100 |
| Routing Policy | Least hops |
| Mobility model | Random Waypoint |
| Node speed V (in m/s) | 5, 10 |
| Pause time (ms) | 10, 50 |
| Period of Predicate Evaluation (ms) | 100 |

Performance



N vs. UD (Pause time = 10 ms) Higher node speed ensures higher UD

UD (Update Delay): It is the average time delay in milliseconds between the time a node changes location and the time the context map is updated.



N vs. UD (Pause time = 50 ms) Higher pause time ensures lower UD

Conclusion

- In this paper
 - We introduce the context map to track the image of the physical world, so that queries can be run against the context map
 - We give instances of real-world problems in pervasive environments where complex timing relations are involved in queries on the context map
 - We show how to maintain the context map by simulation and testbed experiments

Thank You!

Email: vaskar@ieee.org

csweizhu@comp.polyu.edu.hk

Classification of Event Detection Techniques

| | | Event Reporting Delay | |
|----------------------|---------------------|---|--|
| | | Asynchronous (bounded by Δ) | Instantaneous (∆ = 0) |
| Predicate Evaluation | Trigger- based | Highway accident detection, Damage detection in long distance oil pipelines, Undersea cables, etc | Safety-critical applications, (Air or Nuclear accident detection, Tsunami detection), Smart homes, office, etc |
| | Periodic (Batch) | Wild-life / Habitat / Volcano monitoring | Structure health monitoring |

Data Structure for Conc_{pred}

- Every event *e* is identified by a quadruple (*P_i*, *A_j*, *Val*, *t_s*), where
 - *P_i* is the identifier of process *i*,
 - A_i is the attribute *j* of P_i
 - *Val* is the value of attribute A_i , and
 - t_s is the timestamp of occurrence of e

Data Structure for Conc_{pred}

- A contextual link is represented with a quadruple (A_j, Val, t_s, t_f), where
 - A_j is a context attribute and *Val* is the value of A_j during the time interval which started at time t_s and continued till t_f
- Contextual links are created between a pair of processes
 - iff a context attribute of one process is related to that of the other process through some user define function, *f*.

Concurrent and Relative Events

Concurrent Event

 concurrency event in terms of the location context of Tom, Bob and the Laptop : (Tom.Loc = Bob.Loc = Laptop.Loc)

Relative Event

- Consider a scenario where, after Tom enters his office, he has invited both Bob and Alex to take a look at his slides. After that they may go to the meeting together or separately.
- So, the relative event in this example can be specified as Bob and Alex enter Tom's office AFTER Tom and they leave BEFORE Tom